

**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering** 

**Department of Technical Systems Operation and Maintenance** 

Wrocław University of Science and Technology

> Risk Of Maintenance Resource Sharing In Transport Systems

> > Sylwia Werbińska-Wojciechowska Klaudia Winiarska Honorata Poturaj



Probabilistic Safety Assessment and Management PSAM 16, June 26-July 1, 2022, Honolulu, Hawaii







#### **Introduction**

Following the European Standard PN-EN 13306:2010, maintenance management may be defined as all activities of the management that determine the maintenance objectives, strategies, and responsibilities and implement them by means such as maintenance planning, maintenance control, and supervision, improvement of methods in the organization including economic aspects.





# **Classification of main maintenance strategies**

Criterion	Dichotomous division			
Diagnosing	During operation (e.g. Condition-based M CBM)	During machine downtime (e.g. First Time M FTM)		
Forecasting	Probabilistic (e.g. Predictive M.)	Deterministic (e.g. Shutdown M.)		
Planning	Scheduled (e.g. Time- based M.)	Unscheduled (e.g. Breakdown M.)		
Complexity	Low or medium (e.g. production systems)	High or very high (e.g. infrastructure networks)		
Uncertainty	Limited (low or medium)	Deep (high or very high)		
Impact	Non-critical	Critical		

Risk-oriented policy for systems operating under conditions of limited uncertainty



#### **Uncertainty in operation and maintenance**

Uncertainty and variability are one of the fundamental characteristics of systems and processes.

Uncertainty due to modeling process, physical Model uncertainty properties, assumed safety factors, data availability, Uncertainty of the ongoing etc. operational/ Process management uncertainty Uncertainty related to process and its external conditions (e.g., associated phases pricing, regulations, customer safety/ Environment reliability requirements), uncertainty environmental impact on process/system



# **Uncertainty in operation and maintenance**

The consequence of knowledge imperfections is the uncertainty in the maintenance process.

The concept of uncertainty is understood here as a situation of having limited knowledge, such as:

- the order, nature, or state of things is unknown, and
- the consequence, extent, or magnitude of circumstances, conditions, or events is unpredictable.

Bukowski L., Werbińska-Wojciechowska S.: Using fuzzy logic to support maintenance decisions according to Resilience-Based Maintenance concept, Eksploatacja i Niezawodnosc – Maintenance and Reliability, 2021; 23 (2): 294–307.



#### **Risk-oriented policy**

System types with maintenance policy recommendations

System	System	The	Response	Maintenance	System
Туре	behavior	purpose of	to	policy	example
		the system	disruption		
Passive	static or	preservation	resistance	Corrective	non-
	quasi-static	of the state		Maintenance	repairable
					modules
Reactive	dynamic;	maintaining	robustness	Reliability-	technical
	predictably	system		or Risk-	systems
	variable	availability		Based	
				Maintenance	
Active	dynamic;	ensuring	resilience	Resilience	cyber-
	unpredictably	system		Based	physical
	variable	continuity		Maintenance	systems

Problem of maintenance resource availability in the context of risk assessment performance

#### Maintenance resource sharing concept





#### Maintenance resource sharing concept

Dynamic environment

disruptions

TRANSPORTATION SVETEM AND ITS

Primary maintenance resources that can be shared include:

- Maintenance teams/service stations availability of maintenance service stations and accessibility of specialists who have extensive knowledge of vehicle maintenance performance. However, periodic shortages may occur during times of holidays or increased sickness periods.
- Spare parts each company maintains a specific safety stock of strategic spare parts to ensure continuity of transportation service performance in the event of failure.
- Available fleet in case of failure, there is a possibility to use other vehicles available in a transportation company or based on outsourcing processes.
- Maintenance documentation internal procedures and manufacturer's guidelines for vehicle fleet maintenance performance.

elements



#### **Maintenance resource**

# sharing concept

The estimated risk may be expressed as the product of three measures:

$$R = P \cdot S \cdot A$$

(1)

where:

- R risk ratio
- P a measure of the probability of occurrence of an adverse event

S – a measure of the consequences of the occurrence of an adverse event failure

A – a measure characterizing available
alternative resources





# **Maintenance resource** sharing concept

The obtained results give the possibility to propose a single aggregated measure for assessing the availability of maintenance resources. Thus, an assessment of the availability of maintenance resources may be estimated according to:

$$A = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i \cdot \omega_i}{\sum \omega_i}$$

(2)

where:

A – a measure characterizing available alternative resources,

 $A_i$  – a measure characterizing available *i*th resource,

 $\omega_i$  – the weight assigned to the *i*th resource, where

 $\sum \omega_i = 1$ ; *n* – number of maintenance resources analyzed





A case study for resource sharing potential assessment is presented for a group of companies providing passenger transport services in Poland.

The presented example presents a risk assessment for transport processes performed at a selected route between two cities located in the Lower Silesia region.

Classification of hazard events in the analyzed company

GENERAL HAZARD EVENTS			
For vehicle			
OP1	Vehicle failure that makes unable to continue transport process performance		
OP2	Vehicle failure that results in travel delays		
OP3	Insufficient number of seats in the vehicle		
OP4	Lack of proper order in the vehicle		
OP5 Traffic incident that makes unable to continue transport process performance			
OP6 The vehicle unsuited to the required travel conditions			
For driver			
OK1	Poor health of the driver		
OK2	Lack of route knowledge		
OK3	Failure to follow with traffic rules		
OK4	Improper behaviour towards passengers		
OK5	Stop the vehicle in a place not designated as a stop		
OK6	.6 Exceeded driver's working time		
OK7	No drivers that have permission to use the vehicle		
For process			
OPP1	Travel delay		
OPP2	Course cancellation		
OPP3	A necessity for route change		
HAZARD EVENTS BEING SPECIFIC TO THE ROUTE			
S1	Taking potential passengers by accelerated/delayed vehicle of the Competitor		
S2	A too-small number of passengers resulting in a lack of profitability of transport		



#### The probability of hazard event occurrence definition

$P_n$	Estimated	Short description
level	likelihood	
1	Very high	The threat occurred in the last month
2	High	The threat occurred in the last 3 months
3	Medium	The threat occurred in the last 6 months
4	Low	The threat occurred in the last year
5	Very low	The threat occurred once in the last two years or more

#### **Consequence level definition**

S <sub>n</sub> level	Estimated consequence	Short description
1	High	High financial losses
2	Medium	Medium financial losses
3	Low	Low financial losses, loss of image
4	Almost negligible	No financial losses, loss of image



#### The probability of hazard event occurrence definition

$P_n$ leve	1	Estimated likelihood	Short desc	ription	
1	Very high The threat occurred in the last month			occurred in the last month	
2 High The threat occurred in the last 3 months			occurred in the last 3 months		
3	Potential for maintenance resource sharing for the case group of companies				
5	Maintenance resources sharing availability				
5	Maintenance P resource type		Points	Short discussion	
Maintenance teams/service stations		2-3	The companies are located in different parts of the region, but the maximum travel time is 2.5 hours. This means that it is possible to move team members between the service stations of individual companies in an emergency. At the same time, if a vehicle fails during the service, repairs can be carried out at the nearest station based on partnership agreements.		
	Spare parts 2		2	The distance between the companies is such that transporting spare parts for regular repairs would be a high cost in the service process and, therefore, not a competitive offer to current purchasing procedures. However, when the availability of specific components is limited (which translates into an inflated price of parts) or the time to obtain them is too long, the alternative of sharing these resources may be a beneficial solution.	
	Available fleet 2-3		2-3	The companies do not have an extensive fleet of vehicles, and any spare capacity is usually directed to serve additional orders. Therefore, sharing this resource is only possible in crises, possibly in emergencies, but only concerning the available free capacity.	
	Maintenance documentation 4-5			Maintenance documentation has a high potential for sharing. Today, much of the documentation is available electronically, allowing it to be delivered quickly and cost less to the required location.	



- Special attention should be focused on these events, which have the lowest risk ratio value. They are, in fact, events in which incidence is high, the consequences are significant, and, at the same time, they have the lowest potential for providing maintenance resource sharing.
- The acceptable level of risk determined based on an interview with the management and leadership of the company was set at R = 12.5.
- 10 identified hazard events obtained the acceptable level of the risk ratio. The remaining 8, with an *R* level below 12.5 points, require the company's intervention.

#### The summary of obtained results

Event	$P_n$	$S_n$	$A_n$	$R_n$
OP1	4	1	2.5	10.0
OP2	2	3	2.5	15.0
OP3	2	3	2.5	15.0
OP4	1	4	2.5	10.0
OP5	3	1	2.5	7.5
OP6	2	3	2.5	15.0
OK1	2	3	3.25	19.5
OK2	4	4	3.25	52.0
OK3	3	2	3.25	19.5
OK4	1	3	3.25	9.75
OK5	2	2	3.25	13.0
OK6	4	2	3.25	26.0
OK7	4	3	2.5	30.0
OPP1	1	3	2.5	7.5
OPP2	1	2	3.0	6.0
OPP3	4	4	3.0	48.0
S1	2	2	2.5	10.0
S2	1	2	2.5	5.0

#### Wrocław University of Science and Technology

#### **Conclusions**

- The article presents a concept of risk assessment in the transport system based on three parameters. A novelty in the assessment is introducing a third parameter - describing the potential for sharing maintenance resources.
- The greater the potential of a maintenance resource to be shared, the greater its availability in the crucial period, and thus the lower the risk of taking the vehicle out of service.
- The analysis of the sharing potential of selected maintenance resources in the analyzed group of transport companies is presented to confirm the adopted thesis.
- The presented results of the assessment procedure are the starting point for further research work aimed at assessing the risk in transport companies considering maintenance potential. The possible future research activities will be connected with fuzzy logic implementation with multi-criteria decision methods use.

# Thank you for attention

Sylwia Werbińska-Wojciechowska, Department of Technical Systems Operation and Maintenance e-mail: sylwia.werbinska@pwr.edu.pl; tel. +48 71 320 34 27